

a first selection for real time play of the multimedia files which are downloaded; and
a second selection for storing in a memory the multimedia files which are downloaded in

memory.

A2 12. (Amended) The method of claim 11 wherein only a predetermined number of
multimedia files may be stored in memory.

A3 15. (Amended) The method of claim 10 wherein the multimedia files include at least
one of:

a video file; and

an audio file.

A4 19. (Amended) The method of claim 10 wherein the listing is created and transmitted
automatically on a periodic basis.

REMARKS

This Amendment and Response is responsive to the Office Action mailed November 21, 2002. In that action: Claims 1-19 were pending; Claims 6, 12, 15, and 19 were objected to due to informalities and claim language; Claims 1-7 and 9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Eyal (U.S. Patent No. 6,389,467); Claims 8, 10, 12, and 14-19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eyal in view of Martino (U.S. Patent No. 5,987,103); and Claim 13 was rejected under Section 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eyal in view of Martino and Suzuki (U.S. Patent No. 6,470,356). Claim 11 was not specifically rejected, yet numbered paragraphs 29-31 of the Office Action seem to imply that Claim 11 was rejected based on a combination of Eyal and Martino. The Applicant will assume this was the Examiner's intention.